Name	Teacher/Period	/
Read the following selections from various written think wrote the excerpt. Then, you need to highly that makes you think that.	<u> </u>	•
"And here I would make this inquiry of those w federal Convention. I am sure they were fully consolidated government, instead of a confeder demonstrably clear; and the danger of such a go highest veneration for those gentlemen; but, sir to say, <i>We, the people?</i> My political curiosity, welfare, leads me to ask - Who authorized them of, <i>We, the states?</i> States are the characteristics	impressed with the necessity of formation. That this is a consolidated government is, to my mind, very striking, give me leave to demand - What rigexclusive of my anxious solicitude for to speak the language of, <i>We, the people</i>	ning a great vernment is g. I have the ght had they or the public
"The powers of Congress under the new constitution and the <i>sword</i> , and are perfectly independent of intervention in these great points is entirely dest Congress may command the whole, or any part what imposts upon commerce; they may impose written instruments, and duties on every other as species of taxation, whether of an external or interaction article the 1st, viz., "The Congress shall have poexcises, to pay the debts, and provide for the constates."	and supreme over, the state governme royed. By virtue of their power of taxa of the property of the people. They may what land taxes, poll taxes, excises, of ticle that they may judge proper; in sharmal nature is comprised in section the ower to lay and collect taxes, duties, in	ents, whose ation, ay impose duties on all nort, every ne 8th, of nposts, and
"Providence has been pleased to give this one people descended from the same ancestors, speareligion, attached to the same principles of gove customs, and who, by their joint counsels, arms, long and bloody war, have nobly established get. This country and this people seem to have been the design of Providence, that an inheritance so united to each other by the strongest ties, should and alien sovereignties"	king the same language, professing the rnment, very similar in their manners and efforts, fighting side by side through the liberty and independence. made for each other, and it appears as proper and convenient for a band of be	e same and ughout a if it was rethren,
"It is to be observed that when the people sha last and supreme act; it will be adopted not by th but by the people of the United States; and when incompatible with the ancient customs, rights, th in the United States, it will entirely abolish them	ne people of New-Hampshire, Massachever this constitution, or any part of it he laws or the constitutions heretofore	husetts, etc. t, shall be

"...(It) may be concluded that a pure democracy, by which I mean a society consisting of a small number of citizens, who assemble and administer the government in person, can admit of no cure for the mischiefs of faction. A common passion or interest will, in almost every case, be felt by a majority of the whole; a communication and concert result from the form of government itself; and there is nothing to check the inducements to sacrifice the weaker party or an obnoxious individual. Hence it is that such democracies have ever been spectacles of turbulence and contention; have ever been found incompatible with personal security or the rights of property; and have in general been as short in their lives as they have been violent in their deaths. Theoretic politicians, who have patronized this species of government, have erroneously supposed that by reducing mankind to a perfect equality in their political rights, they would, at the same time, be perfectly equalized and assimilated in their possessions, their opinions, and their passions."

"A republic, by which I mean a government in which the scheme of representation takes place, opens a different prospect, and promises the cure for which we are seeking. Let us examine the points in which it varies from pure democracy, and we shall comprehend both the nature of the cure and the efficacy which it must derive from the Union...."

"Mr. Chairman, whether the Constitution be good or bad, the present clause clearly discovers that it is a national government, and no longer a Confederation. I mean that clause which gives the first hint of the general government laying direct taxes. The assumption of this power of laying direct taxes does, of itself, entirely change the confederation of the states into one consolidated government. This power, being at discretion, unconfined, and without any kind of control, must carry every thing before it. The very idea of converting what was formerly a confederation to a consolidated government, is totally subversive of every principle which has hitherto governed us..."

"This power is calculated to annihilate totally the state governments. Will the people of this great community submit to be individually taxed by two different and distinct powers? Will they suffer themselves to be doubly harassed? These two concurrent powers cannot exist long together; the one will destroy the other: the general government being paramount to, and in every respect more powerful than the state governments, the latter must give way to the former. Is it to be supposed that one national government will suit so extensive a country, embracing so many climates, and containing inhabitants so very different in manners, habits, and customs?..."

"A constitution is, in fact, and must be regarded by the judges, as a fundamental law. It therefore belongs to them to ascertain its meaning, as well as the meaning of any particular act proceeding from the legislative body. If there should happen to be an irreconcilable variance between the two, that which has the superior obligation and validity ought, of course, to be preferred; or, in other words, the Constitution ought to be preferred to the statute, the intention of the people to the intention of their agents..."